

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/606,023	U6/29/2000	Yuichi Higuchi	1272C0418	§116	
5514	7590 06/10/2004		EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			BRINICH, STEPHEN M		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2624		
			DATE MAILED: 06/10/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Transmark Office

DATE MAILED:

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
		·	EXAMINER	
			ART UNIT	PAPER
				10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/606,023	HIGUCHT, YUICHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen M Brinich	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF	DI VIQ SET TO EXPIRE 3 MC	ONTH(S) FROM					
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a right if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute. cause the application to become AB/	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. "HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
/ 	·						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-23</u> is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-19</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) 20-23 is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	l/or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	oplication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr							
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not r	received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/606,023

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 21 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

The limitation recited in claim 21 (that the calibration information held by said second holding means is generated in response to a change in condition of said printing apparatus) is already recited in parent claim 20, lines 7-8.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rijavec.

Re claims 20-21, Rijavec discloses (column 3, lines 36-59; column 5, lines 16-67) a printer calibration system in which a first calibration function is provided by a host device 102 and a second calibration function is generated in the printer (note that the printer calibration is described as "implemented in the printers 110-116 as well", indicating a calibration in addition to the calibration provided by host 102). The first and second

Application/Control Number: 09/606,023 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

calibration functions are stored (the memory referenced at column 3, lines 55-59 and the "printer 112 may include one or more arbitrary transfer functions" calibration functions referenced at column 6, lines 3-5, respectively). These calibration functions are generated in response to a change in printer condition caused by age, temperature, humidity, etc (column 5, lines 18-22).

Re claim 22, Rijavec discloses (Abstract lines 15-17; Figure 7) the printing and scanning of reference patches in order to obtain calibration information.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 23 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rijavec.

Re claim 23, Rijavec does not indicate which calibration functions are more accurate than others. The selection of a more accurate first calibration function (obtained a computer)

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/606,023

Art Unit: 2624

and a less accurate second calibration function (generated within the printer) in order to allow the more extensive calculations required for greater accuracy to be performed by a device better adapted for intensive computation (a general-purpose computer as opposed to a printer control unit) would be an expedient obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-8 & 10-19 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Re claims 1-2, 7, 10-11, & 17-19 (and dependent claims 3-6, 8, & 12-16), the art of record does not teach or suggest the recited arrangement or comparing the values of a calibration function downloaded from a host device and a calibration function generated at a predetermined timing and performing a selective notification or calibration operation based on the result of the comparison.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 02 April 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues (Paper #7: page 10, line 15 - page 11, line 9) that the "transfer functions" of Rijavec do not correspond to the calibration information of the present claims.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/606,023

Art Unit: 2624

However, it is not clear how the recited "calibration information" of the claims is distinguished from the "transfer functions" of Rijavec. Examiner notes that Rijavec describes (Abstract) the function of the disclosed invention in terms of printer "calibration", and that the "transfer functions" in particular are discussed by Rijavec in terms of calibration (e.g. column 3, lines 43-44, "...the transfer function calibrates the printer...").

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 09/606,023 Page 6

Art Unit: 2624

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 703-305-4390. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 703-306-0377.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 703-308-7452.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9306.

Stephen M Brinich Examiner Art Unit 2624

smb *AMI8* June 7, 2004

THOMAS D
TOMBOY LEE
PRIMARY EXAMINER